

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY LEE TOTTEN, Nos. C-05-1675 TEH (PR)  
C-05-1965 TEH (PR)  
Petitioner, C-07-5974 TEH (PR)

v. ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF  
APPEALABILITY  
A. KANE, Warden, et. al., Respondent(s). (Doc. #11)

On September 25, 2008, the Court denied Petitioner's applications for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the California Board of Parole Hearings' (BPH) January 27, 2003, June 29, 2004 and November 27, 2007 decisions to deny him parole. Doc. #9.

23 Petitioner filed a notice of appeal, which the Court now  
24 construes as a request for a Certificate of Appealability (COA)  
25 under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure  
26 22(b). See Hayward v. Marshall, 603 F.3d 546, 552-56 (9th Cir.  
27 2010) (en banc) (COA required regardless of whether state decision  
28 to deny release from confinement is administrative or judicial).

1 Petitioner's request for a COA (Doc. #11) is DENIED  
2 because Petitioner has not made "a substantial showing of the denial  
3 of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Nor has  
4 Petitioner demonstrated that "reasonable jurists would find the  
5 district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable  
6 or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

7 The Clerk shall forward to the Court of Appeals the case  
8 file with this Order. See United States v. Asrar, 116 F.3d 1268,  
9 1270 (9th Cir. 1997).

10

11

IT IS SO ORDERED.

12

13

14

DATED

07/12/10



---

15 THELTON E. HENDERSON  
16 United States District Judge

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

G:\PRO-SE\TEH\HC.07\Totten-07-5974-deny coa-post-hayward.wpd

27

28